

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

EDWARD COULSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

SOUTHERN NEVADA MOVERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01989-RFB-NJK

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 33] of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered December 2, 2016.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by December 19, 2016. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 33] is

1 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff Edward Coulson's case is DISMISSED.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall serve a copy of this Order to
4 Plaintiff and close the case.

5 DATED: January 13, 2017.



7 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
8 **United States District Judge**